Proposed Research Efforts by the Keston Institute for Infrastructure in Support of CEQA Improvement

presented to

The Advisory Group for CEQA Improvement March 15, 2005



Proposed Research Projects

- **#** CEQA and the Provision of Critical Infrastructure
- # Improved Long-Range Planning to Support the Delivery of Critical Infrastructure



CEQA and the Provision of Critical Infrastructure

Issue: CEQA is alleged to cause frivolous and

unnecessary delays and cost increases in

the provision of critical infrastructure

Objective:

To determine what types of delays and costs are identified as "unnecessary by infrastructure providers and to evaluate whether, and to what extent, CEQA adds such delays and costs to the delivery of critical infrastructure



CEQA and the Provision of Critical Infrastructure

Approach

- (1) Convene two roundtable discussions with infrastructure providers to determine what they consider an "unnecessary" cost/schedule impact based on their experience with a variety of projects
- (2) Interview engineering, contracting, and legal firms that work in California and other states to determine if there are meaningful differences in the number of such "unnecessary" cost/schedule impacts between California and other states and whether there are meaningful differences in project delivery times, review and permitting costs, and mitigation measures



The Keston Institute for Infrastructure

CEQA and the Provision of Critical Infrastructure

Product:

Report that summarizes the results of the roundtable discussions and interviews, identifies priority issues for resolution, and potential legislative/administrative solutions



Issue:

For a variety of reasons, very little long-range planning is conducted by local governments in California. As a result, the EIR process required by CEQA has become the de facto statewide planning mechanism. The project-specific nature of the CEQA review process makes it difficult to develop and implement coordinated regional approaches to impact mitigation.



Objective:

To identify a mechanism for the appropriate levels of governance to carry out comprehensive and coordinated long-range planning for critical infrastructure and implement necessary mitigation measures.



Approach

- (1) Estimate the revenue requirements for localities to develop and update long- range comprehensive plans on a statewide basis
- (2) Determine the extent to which the continuing cost of CEQA review could offset the cost of a statewide planning process
- (3) Explore alternative mechanisms for redirecting the cost of CEQA review to a statewide planning process



Approach

- (4) Identify potential mechanisms for funding and implementing mitigation measures
- (5) Identify other areas related to the existing CEQA process that would need to be modified to accommodate a front-end oriented review process



Product:

Report that discusses the financial feasibility and implications of shifting the focus of California planning from a project-by-project review driven by CEQA to a longer range comprehensive process



For further information, contact:

Richard G. Little, AICP
Director
The Keston Institute for Infrastructure
University of Southern California
RGL 331D
Los Angeles, CA 90089
phone: (213) 740-4120
fax: (213) 740-6170
email: rglittle@usc.edu
http://www.usc.edu/keston

